
US District Court Civil Docket

U.S. District - Minnesota
(Mpls)

4:93cv426

Arneson v. Tsr, Inc.

Date Filed: **04/27/1993**

Assigned To: **Judge James M. Rosenbaum**

Referred To: **Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel**

Nature of
suit: **Other Contract (190)**

Cause: **Constitutionality of State
Statute(s)**

Lead Docket: **None**

Other
Docket: **None**

Jurisdiction: **Diversity**

Class Code: **CLOSED**

Closed: **09/12/1994**

Statute: **28:2201**

Jury Demand: **None**

Demand Amount: **\$0**

NOS Description: **Other Contract**

Litigants

David L Arneson
Plaintiff

Tsr, Inc.
Defendant

Attorneys

James M Hirsch
LEAD ATTORNEY; ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Moss & Barnett
90 S 7th St Ste 4800
Mpls , MN 55402
USA
612-347-0300 
Fax:

Maher J Weinstein
LEAD ATTORNEY; ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Deceased

James P McCarthy
LEAD ATTORNEY; ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
Lindquist & Vennum LLP
80 S 8th St Ste 4200
Mpls , MN 55402
USA
612-371-3238 
Fax: 612-371-3207 
Email: Jmccarthy@lindquist.Com

Mark A Jacobson

LEAD ATTORNEY; ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED
 Cozen O'Connor
 33 South 6th Street
 Ste 3800
 Minneapolis , MN 55402
 USA
 612-260-9025 
 Email: Mjacobson@cozen.Com

Carol T Rieger
 [Term: 06/01/1994]
 Address Unknown
 Inactive

Date	#	Proceeding Text	Source
04/27/1993	1	COMPLAINT - Summons issued. Assigned to Judge James M. Rosenbaum per Master list and referred to Magistrate Franklin L. Noel (10 pgs + exhibits) (Entered: 04/28/1993)	
06/02/1993	2	AMENDED COMPLAINT [1-1] by plaintiff (Entered: 06/04/1993)	
06/29/1993	3	STIPULATION AND ORDER (Judge James M. Rosenbaum /6/29/93) That: time within which dft TSR, Inc. may answer or otherwise plead to the complaint in this matter be enlarged to and including 7/16/93.(cc: all counsel) (Entered: 06/30/1993)	
07/02/1993	4	RETURN OF SERVICE (summons) executed upon defendant TSR, Inc. on 6/10/93 (Entered: 07/06/1993)	
07/16/1993	5	ANSWER by defendant (10 pgs) (Entered: 07/19/1993)	
11/05/1993	6	STATEMENT OF CASE as to defendant (Entered: 11/05/1993)	
11/09/1993	7	STATEMENT OF CASE as to plaintiff (Entered: 11/09/1993)	
11/10/1993	8	MINUTES (Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel) re: PRETRIAL CONFERENCE (2 pgs) (SJH) (Entered: 11/16/1993)	
11/15/1993	9	Pretrial SCHEDULING ORDER (Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel / 11/12/93) discovery set for 5/1/94 ; motions to amend pleadings set 2/1/94 ; non-dispositive motions set for 5/1/94 ; pltf disclose expert witnesses, and serve answers to interrogatories - 3/1/94 ; dft disclose expert witnesses and serve answers to interrogatories - 4/1/94 ; dispositive motions set for 7/1/94 ; ready for trial set 9/1/94 (cc: all counsel) (3 pgs) (SJH) (Entered: 11/16/1993)	
01/21/1994	10	MOTION by plaintiff to extend time limitations contained in pretrial schedule order dated 11/12/93 (to Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel) (no date/time) (Entered: 01/21/1994)	
01/21/1994	11	STIPULATION AND ORDER (Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel) That: each of the time limitations set forth in the court's pretrial schedule order dated 11/12/93 shall be extended for a period of one month. [10-1] (cc: all counsel) (Entered: 01/21/1994)	
01/24/1994	12	STIPULATION AND ORDER (Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel/1/19/94) Re: protective order concerning confidentiality of discovery materials. SO ORDERED. (cc: all counsel) (Entered: 01/24/1994)	
04/28/1994	13	STIPULATION AND ORDER (Judge James M. Rosenbaum /4/28/94) That: the first cause of action in the first amended complaint is dismissed with prejudice. (cc: all counsel) (Entered: 04/28/1994)	
05/03/1994	14	STIPULATION AND ORDER (Magistrate Judge Franklin L. Noel) ; discovery set for 7/1/94; dispositive motions set for 9/1/94 ; except that	

ready for trial date of 10/1/94 shall remain unchanged. (cc: all counsel)
(Entered: 05/03/1994)

- 06/01/1994 15 ATTORNEY SUBSTITUTION: terminating attorney Carol T Rieger for TSR, Inc. and substituting attorney James P McCarthy (Entered: 06/02/1994)
- 09/12/1994 16 STIPULATION AND ORDER (Judge James M. Rosenbaum /9/12/94) That: this action is dismissed, with prejudice and without further costs to either party. This court retains jurisdiction of the subject matter of the action for the purposes of enforcing the settlement agreement of the parties herein. (cc: all counsel) (2 pgs) (Entered: 09/12/1994)
-